Magnetocaloric effect in Sm-Co_{2-x}Fe_x alloys

L.A. Burrola-Gándara, M.C. Grijalva-Castilla, C.R. Santillán-rodríguez and J.A. Matutes-Aquino

Abstract

SmCo₂ and SmFe₂ magnetic cubic Laves phases have Curie temperatures of 220 and 669 K, respectively. Aiming to increase the Curie temperature of SmCo₂ for potential room temperature magnetic refrigeration Co was partially replaced by Fe. The fundamental magnetic entropy change of the SmCo₂ compound, Δ S_M = 3.61 J/kgK, for an applied magnetic field of μ_0 H = 1.5 T was observed at T_{SR}=175 K, where a spin reorientation transition occurs; furthermore a refrigerant capacity of 37 J/kg was calculated. Interestingly the addition of Fe practically suppressed the spin reorientation transition, shifting the magnetic entropy change maximum to the Curie temperature in the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} sample. The magnetic entropy change maximum at Curie temperature T_C=300 K and the refrigerant capacity for the doped compound decreased to Δ S_M=0.20 J/kg K and 13.5 J/kg, respectively, for an applied magnetic field of μ_0 H=1.5 T. After an homogenization heat treatment at 773K during 50 h, the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} compound showed an increase of the maximum magnetic entropy change, Δ S_M=0.28 J/kg/K, and refrigerant capacity remains 13.5 J/kg, for an applied magnetic field of μ_0 H=1.5T.

Introduction

The magnetic ordering transitions in RCo₂ had been always classified as firstorder transitions for R=Dy, Er, and Ho, and the rest as second-order transitions.^{1,2} These first order transition materials are potential candidates for magnetic cooling in the



30–150K temperature range, limiting its applications as magnetic refrigerants.^{1,3,4} A typical metallurgical strategy has been to combine the high Curie temperature of some members of the RCo₂ series (GdCo₂ with $T_c \approx 400$ K) with the very high entropy content of the first-order transition of other members (as ErCo₂) so as to tune the properties.^{1,5} In this work, aiming to increase the Curie temperature of SmCo₂ for potential room temperature magnetic refrigeration, Co was partially replaced by Fe knowing that SmCo₂ and SmFe₂ compounds have Curie temperatures of 220 and 669 K, respectively.

Experimental

Polycrystalline samples of SmCo₂ and SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} were prepared by arc melting method in a high purity argon atmosphere. The SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} sample was homogenized at 773K during 50 h. X ray powder diffraction patterns were measured at room temperature in a Panalytical diffractometer model X'pert Pro-MPD with Cu Kα radiation (λ =1.5406 Å) and refined by the Rietveld method using the fullprof program for the determination of phases composition and lattice parameters. Bulk samples microstructure was observed in a scanning electron microscope JEOL model JSM-7401 F LV, and chemical composition elemental analysis with an energy-dispersive system (EDAX) Oxford model INCA Penta FETX3 was carried out. Sample powders were pressed into cylindrical shaped tablets for later measurement of Magnetic isotherms and thermomagnetic curves in a quantum design physical properties measurement system (PPMS).

Results and discussion



According to Fig. 1, for the SmCo₂ sample, the 1:2 main cubic Laves phase and a barely detected amount of 2:7 hexagonal secondary phase were identified, whereas for the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} and heat treated (HT) SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} samples, the 1:2 main cubic Laves phase and the 5:2 hexagonal secondary phase were recognized. For the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} samples, the diffraction angles of the 1:2 main cubic Laves phase are shifted to minor angles compared to those reported in the crystallographic file (ICSD), which is related to the larger atomic radius of Fe compared to Co. A better defined pattern for the 1:2 main cubic Laves phase and angular displacements less than 1° are observed for the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} (HT) sample. Phase analyses, in wt. %, are shown in Table I. Calculated unit cell volume of 386.126 Å³ and 386.605 Å³ for the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} samples with and without heat treatment, respectively, are greater than the 382.82 Å³ unit cell volume of nominal SmCo₂. The unit cell volume increase of the 1:2 compound determined by Rietveld method indicates the substitutional incorporation of Fe. A negligible volume increase of the 5:2 hexagonal secondary phase was noticed for the same samples.

Dendritic-like microstructures observed for bulk SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} and heat treated SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} by scanning electron microscopy are shown in Fig. 2. The darker zones correspond to the 1:2 main cubic Laves phase, and the lighter zones correspond to the 5:2 hexagonal secondary phase, according to semiquantitative chemical analysis (EDAX).





FIG. 1. X ray diffraction patterns for powders samples SmCo_2, SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2}, and SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} HT.

As shown in Fig. 3(a), two notable changes can be observed at 210 K and 175 K in the thermomagnetic curve of the SmCo₂ sample measured from 300 to 90 K under an applied magnetic field of μ_0 H= 0.02 T. According to Ref. 6, the change at 210 K is a rhombohedral distortion of the SmCo₂ cubic crystal lattice, but the authors did not qualify the order of the structural transition. It would be important to do additional experiments on thermal hysteresis, field hysteresis, or neutron powder diffraction aiming to determine the order of this cubic to rhombohedral transition. The change at 175 K is accredited to a spin reorientation transition similarly observed in other laves phases like SmFe₂, where spin orientation changes from the [011] to [111] direction when the temperature is higher than 175 K.7 The ferromagnetic to paramagnetic Curie temperature was determined at 220 K.



In Fig. 3(b) is observed the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition at Curie temperatures of 300 and 290 K for the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} sample with and without heat treatment, respectively; these thermomagnetic curves were measured from 400 to 100K under an applied magnetic field of μ_0 H=0.02 T. It can be noticed that the magnetization change at the Curie temperature is more abrupt in the heat treated sample, which is probably due to a more homogeneous distribution of Fe. Figure 4(a) shows isothermal magnetization curves for SmCo₂ pressed powders measured for temperatures between 100 and 280 K with applied magnetic fields from μ_0 H=0 to 1.5 T.

TABLE I. Rietveld analysis for phase quantification results (% wt)

Phase	SmCo ₂	Sm ₂ Co ₇	Sm ₅ Co ₂
ICSD	625219	2054	327
SmCo ₂	86.7%	13.3%	-
SmCo _{1.8} Fe _{0.2}	72.24%	-	27.76%
SmCo _{1.8} Fe _{0.2} HT	72.65%	-	27.35%



FIG. 2. (a) $SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2}$ alloy micrograph. (b) $SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2}$ HT alloy micrograph.



Figure 4(b) shows the magnetic entropy change curve calculated from these magnetization isotherms. The maximum magnetic entropy change, $\Delta S_M = 3.61 \text{ J/kg·K}$, occurs at the spin reorientation temperature of 175 K, and it is greater than the magnetic entropy change at the Curie temperature of 220 K. Calculated refrigerant capacity (RC) is 37 J/kg.

Isothermal magnetization curves for pressed powders of SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} samples thermally untreated and treated from 100 to 395 K with applied magnetic fields from μ_0 H = 0-5.0 T were measured (not shown here). Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the corresponding calculated magnetic entropy change curves where it can be observed that the magnetocaloric effect is proportional to the maximum applied magnetic field. The maximum magnetic entropy change of the thermally untreated SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} sample is Δ S_M J/kg·K at 300 K for μ_0 H = 1.5 T, and the calculated refrigerant capacity (RC) is 13.5 J/kg.







FIG. 3. Thermomagnetic curves for (a) SmCo₂, (b) SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2}, and SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} HT pressed powders.



FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Magnetization isotherms and (b) Magnetic entropy change for SmCo₂ pressed powders.



For the treated SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} sample, the maximum magnetic entropy change is ΔS_{M} =0.28 J/kg·K at 290 K for µ₀H=1.5 T, and the calculated refrigerant capacity (RC) is 13.5 J/kg. The heat treated sample shows a slight increase of the maximum value of magnetic entropy change, probably related to a more homogeneous distribution of Fe. The RC temperature range for Fe doped compounds is wider than SmCo₂, but the maximum magnetic entropy change is not enough to make a difference. The magnetic entropy change for SmCo₂ is several times higher than SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2}, but the origin of each one is different. If the magnetic entropy change at T_C=220 K in SmCo₂ (Δ S_M=0.09 J/kg·K) is compared with those (T_C=300 and 290 K, respectively) of Fe doped compounds (Δ S_M=0.20 and 0.28 J/kg·K, respectively), the magnitude of each one is from the same order.

Conclusions

The replacement of 1/10 of Co atoms for Fe atoms in the SmCo₂ compound shifts the Curie temperature from 220 K to room temperature. The compound SmCo₂ shows a magnetic entropy change maximum, $\Delta S_M = 3.61 \text{ J/kg} \cdot \text{K}$ at the spin reorientation transition T_{SR} =175 K for an applied magnetic field of μ_0 H=1.5 T; this magnetic entropy change is greater than the magnetic entropy change at the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition temperature (T_C=220 K).





FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Magnetic entropy change for $SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2}$ and (b) $SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2}$ HT at different applied magnetic fields.

The addition of Fe to the SmCo₂ compound practically suppresses the spin reorientation transition of the SmCo_{1.8}Fe_{0.2} compounds, and the magnetic entropy change maximum appears at the Curie temperature. The magnetic entropy change at Curie temperature for all samples at μ_0 H = 1.5 T maintains a close difference between each other, highlighting the role of the spin reorientation transition in obtaining a high entropy change in the SmCo₂ compound.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the project CONACYT PROINNOVA 156122 "Development of an experimental prototype for magnetic refrigeration."



References

1.- M. Parra-Borderíasa, F. Bartoloméa, J. Herrero-Albillos, and L. M. García, J. Alloys Compd. 481, 48 (2009).

2.- N. K. Singh, K. G. Suresh, A. K. Nigam, S. K. Malik, A. A. Coelho, and S. Gama, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 317, 68 (2007).

3.- E. Gratz, and A. S. Markosyan, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, R385 (2001).

4.- N. A. de Oliveira, P. J. Von Ranke, M. V. Tovar Costa, and A. Troper, Phys. Rev. B.66, 094402 (2002).

- 5.- E. Brück, J. Phys. D 38, R381 (2005).
- 6.- Cz. Kapusta, I. S. Oliveira, P. C. Riedi, E. Gratz, G. Wiesinger, H. Figiel. and A. P. Guimaraes, J. Magn. Magn, Mater. 177, 1121 (1998).

7.- A. M. van Diepen, H. W. de Wijn, and K. H. J. Buschow, Phys. Rev. B. 8, 1125 (1973).

